S	ì.	3	7
- 2		J	1

File With ____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP314485	
TO:SEO	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission is	
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/	received 25/12/24
Ann Graves ID	/
152/not be invoked at the	31 of the Planning and Development Act 2000
to not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):	31 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
	18008
E.O.:	- 21.12 =
	Date: 2) 25
To EO:	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked - allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	
274 weeks for reply.	
S.E.O.:	Dat
S.A.O:	Date:
	Date:
M	
Please prepare RP	7
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclosed by submission Section 131 notice enclosed by the sectio	osing a copy of the attached
to:	. V and attached
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	
EO:	Date:
	Date:
AA:	Date:

S,	37	1
	40.00	

File With _

CORRES	PONDENCE	FORM

CORRESPONDENT	
Deal No: ABP 314485	
ease treat correspondence received on	2/24 as follows:
Update database with new agent for Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/Applicant/A	pellant
Amendments/Comments Resp Reco	
4. Attach to file (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO
	Plans Date Stamped Date Stamped Filled in AA: F. What you
Date: 2 7 23	Date: JIIIJI

David Behan

From:

Ann Graves < Ann. Graves @ oireachtas.ie >

Sent:

Monday 23 December 2024 00:01

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

Submission to An Bord Pleanala in respect of Appeal of Relevant Action Draft

Decision

Attachments:

DAA Relevant Action AG.docx

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

A Chara

Attached submission in relation to Case Number 314485, I previously made a submission in relation to Case Number 314485

Is mise

Ann Graves TD
Sinn Féin
Unit 1, The Coachyard
Main Street
Swords
Co Dublin
0872724359

Beartas ríomhphoist an Oireachtais agus séanadh. <u>oireachtas.ie/ga/email-policy/</u> Oireachtas email policy and disclaimer. <u>oireachtas.ie/en/email-policy/</u>

Submission to An Bord Pleanala in respect of Appeal of Relevant Action Draft Decision

Case Number 314485

Context

The Inspector's Report determined that the adverse impact of the Relevant Action on the surrounding -related cognitive impairments.

In light of this and in communities would be too severe to justify granting permission. It is acknowledged that Dublin Airport is a major employer in the area and while no one wants to stand in the way of progress it must be recognised that the proposal's request for additional hours of operation on the north runway and a projected increase in night-time activity would result in significant additional impact on residents. Numerous studies have highlighted the substantial negative impact that stems from sleep loss and disturbance. This includes a significant cost to both the physical and mental health of those effected. In consideration of the well being of those affected by aircraft noise, it is vital that nighttime aircraft movement should be limited. The proposed changes to the operations time from 6am to midnight will also impact on residents' quality of life and their health and well being further exacerbating sleep disruption

Observations on the DAA application

- The DAA application neglects to evaluate or mitigate for the harmful effects of nighttime noise adequately. The use of average metrics does not capture critical factors such as sleep disturbance, awakenings, which have both short and long term impacts on health.
- The inspector has defined that more than 1 additional awakening per night as a result of aircraft noise is a significant adverse impact
- The inspector has concluded "in conjunction with the board's independent acoustic expert that the information contained in the RD and the RA does not adequately demonstrate consideration of all measures necessary to ensure the increase in flights during the nighttime hours would prevent a significant negative impact on the existing population." . There are clearly concerns that need to be addressed.
- Insulation measures does not mitigate nighttime noise residents need to be able to open windows. They do not address either low-frequency noise or peak noise events. The WHO average insulation value of 21 dB assumes windows are open 20% of the year, making insulation less effective. While the proposed introduction of a new insulation criteria of 80dB LASMax is welcome, there is a lack of detailed maps outlining who/what areas will be eligible.

- The proposed grant value of €20,000 is inadequate to fully insulate homes that will qualify, particularly in light of rising construction costs in Dublin, the scheme needs to be reevaluated to cover the actual costs of full insulation.

11.65

The DAA has implemented flight paths that deviate significantly from those approved in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). These unauthorised deviations expose previously unaffected areas to significant noise impacts, creating unassessed risks. The deviations breach Condition 1 of the planning permission, which requires adherence to the originally assessed flight paths. No updated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or planning application has been submitted for these changes.

Proposal

- The flight paths approved under the original EIS should be applied
- All measures should be in place to protect the health and well being of the residents affected by aircraft noise.
- Implement the Noise Quota System to incentivize quieter aircraft and ensure proportional operations.
- Reject the proposed additional hours of operation on the north runway

Submission by –
Ann Graves TD,
Louise O'Reilly TD
Sinn Féin, Unit 1, The Coachyard, Main Street, Swords, Co Dublin